

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,330	11/21/2001	James D. Beasom	125.009US01	2642
34206	7590 01/30/2003			
FOGG AND ASSOCIATES, LLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 581339 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458-1339			WEISS, HOWARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		De
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/990,330	BEASOM, JAMES D.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Howard Weiss	2814
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of 16 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended peri	ATION. 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of third by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>20 November 2002</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-110</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-78</u> is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>79-110</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-110</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10) $oximes$ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 200	<u>22</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) \Box obj	ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed o		isapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are requir	· •	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in A	pplication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for the action	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	·	
a) The translation of the foreign langu	, -	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	- ,	
Attachment(s)	. 🗂	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Papel 	948) 5) Notice of 1	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: 125.009US01

Filing Date: 1/21/01

Continuing Data: none

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none

Applicant(s): Beasom

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Election/Restrictions

1. The Applicant's election without traverse of Specie (a), which reads upon Claims 79 to 110, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Claims 111 to 126 have been canceled.

Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In Paragraph 42
 on Page 14, all numbers in scientific notation should be expressed as powers of 10.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 79 to 96 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 79 details "a second conductivity type" without <u>first</u> specifying a first conductivity type. When specifying sequentially labeled features in a claim, the introduction of such features should follow the logical sequence of the labeling (i.e. "1,2,3..." or "a,b,c..."). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,330

Art Unit: 2814

Claims 80, 86 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

- 7. Claim 86 recites the limitation "the first edge of the first region" in Line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claim 80 recites the limitation "a second edge of the first top gate" without specifying a first edge of the first top gate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Initially, and with respect to Claim 84, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. See In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) and the related case law cited therein which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. As stated in Thorpe,

even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. In re Brown, 459 F.2d 531, 535, 173 USPQ 685, 688 (CCPA 1972); In re Pilkington, 411 F.2d 1345, 1348, 162 USPO 145, 147 (CCPA 1969); Buono v. Yankee Maid Dress Corp., 77 F.2d 274, 279, 26 USPO 57, 61 (2d. Cir. 1935).

Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

11. Claims 79 to 106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted Prior Art Figure 3 and Kwon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,306,652).

Admitted Prior Art Figure 3 shows most aspects of the instant invention including:

- a substrate 49
- > a thick dielectric region 61 and a thin dielectric region 64
- a gate electrode 62 deposited upon said thin dielectric
- > a top electrode 53
- > source 58 and drain 51 regions with said drain region separated from said top gate 92
- a body region 60

Admitted Prior Art Figure 3 does not show the thick dielectric region's lateral width defining the distance between the drain and said top gate. Kwon et al. teach (e.g. Figure 4) to separate the drain region 36 by a thick dielectric region 26 to form a transistor with low on-resistance and controllable breakdown voltage (Column 1 Lines 45 to 50). The separation distance 92 of admitted Prior Art Figure 3 is the same as drift region 24 a shown in Kwon et al. The top gate would be separated form the drain region by this region. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to separate the drain region by a thick dielectric region as taught by Kwon et al. in the device of admitted Prior Art Figure 3 to form a transistor with low on-resistance and controllable breakdown voltage.

As to the grounds of rejection under "product by process", how the drift region is formed is an intermediate process step which does not affect the final; device structure. See MPEP § 2113 which discusses the handling of "product by process" claims.

Art Unit: 2814

12. Claims 107 to 110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted Prior Art Figure 3 and Kwon et al., as applied to Claim 89 above, and further in view of Beasom (U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0185696).

Admitted Prior Art Figure 3 and Kwon et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 11) except for the photo diode stack arrangement. Beasom teaches (e.g. Paragraphs 0041 to 0045) that is common and therefore obvious to include the lateral MOSFET in a photo diode stack arrangement as claimed. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the lateral MOSFET of admitted Prior Art Figure 3 and Kwon et al. in a photo diode stack arrangement as taught by Beasom since this a typical arrangement in the art.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kawaguchi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,469,346) and Nakayama et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,242,787) teach the use of thick dielectric layers in lateral MOSFETs and Disney et al. (ISPS '01) teaches the use of top gate regions.
- 14. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2814 via the Art Unit 2814 Fax Center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 3C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or -7724. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications. The official TC2800 Before-Final, (703) 872-9318, and After-Final, (703) 872-9319, Fax numbers will provide the fax sender with an auto-reply fax verifying receipt of their fax by the USPTO.

Art Unit: 2814

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at **(703)** 308-4840 and between the hours of 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 2800 Receptionist at **(703) 308-0956**.

16. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/ 343	1/23/03
Other Documentation: PLUS Analysis Report	10/4/02
Electronic Database(s): EAST, IEL	1/23/03

HW/hw 23 January 2003 Howard Weiss
Examiner
Art Unit 2814